

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark, Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Ber 1450 Alexanderis, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/835,887	04/16/2001	Paola Lenti	1011-287	4551
7590 11/20/2003		EXAMINER PIERCE, JEREMY R		
James V. Costigan, Esq. HEDMAN & COSTIGAN, P.C. Suite 2003 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036-2646				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/835,887	LENTI, PAOLA
•	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeremy R. Pierce	1771
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with	1 the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 30 October 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this (1) a timely filed amendme leal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper reply to a nt which places the application in a timely filed Request for Continued
<del></del>	REPLY [check either a) or b	•]
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorten (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS date on which the petition under 37 ension and the corresponding amou ted statutory period for reply original	g date of the final rejection.  OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee on the fee. The appropriate extension fee under y set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>30 October 2003</u> . 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C		
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:	
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require furt	ther consideration and/or se	arch (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal t	by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canc	celing a corresponding numb	per of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejo	ection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ald be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		n considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	pecause it is not directed SC	LELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	/s:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ a	pproved or b) disapprov	ed by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	nent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)
10. Other:		DELIZAPTIM OOLS

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) -809/835,887

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new claims raise new issues because they now recite the top layer surface marks or projecting and recessed patterns. Also, the claims now recite tearable strip adhesive means projecting from said modular laminate coating element.

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments set forth are based upon an amendment that is not entered.